WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:	12-13-22 Inspector:	MER	Any		
Time:	12-13-22 Inspector:	1/122	le	31	
	·	. Yes	No		Notes
CCR La	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	: (4)			
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or				•
	localized settlement observed on the	ŀ			
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		1	+	
	CCR?				
· 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells			_	
	containing CCR or within the general landfill		1 1 /		
	operations that represent a potential disruption				
	to ongoing CCR management operations?		ļ		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or	ļ			
	within the general landfill operations that	.[,		
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	İ			
	the CCR management operations.	L	<u> </u>	<u>L</u>	
CR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))			
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting				
	period? If answer is no, no additional				
	information required.				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?				
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR				
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to				
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	1	į		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				
	landfill access roads?				
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the				
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe				
	corrective action measures below.				•
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				
	measures effective? If the answer is no,				
	describe recommended changes below.				
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
	complaints received during the reporting				
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question				
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				
					
dditiona	l Notes:				
	:				

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

NSING LANDFILL Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. 5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on 7. landfill access roads? 8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. Are current CCR fugitive dust control 9. measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question

Additional Notes:	• •	
	:	
•		
 	<u>.</u>	

Were the citizen complaints logged?

11.

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

NSING LANDFILL overcust Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the 8. landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen 10. complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx